

APPROVED MINUTES

**ALBANY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
Thursday, July 14, 2016
OCWCOG Albany Office, Upstairs Conference Room
MINUTES**

Members Attending: Georgia Edwards, Chuck Knoll, Chris Bailey, Josh Wheeler, Lissa Davis, Ron Irish, Ken Bronson, Steve Dickey, Carl Ang, Cody Meyer, and Rob Emmons

Guests Present: Terry Cole, Garth Appanaitis, and Scott Chapman

Staff Attending: Theresa Conley and Emma Chavez

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	DECISION / CONCLUSION
1. Introductions		Introductions were not conducted
2. Agenda Review		Moved agenda item 6 before 5.
3. Public Comment Period		There were no public comments.
4. RTP Scope and Schedule Review	<p>Staff reviewed the RTP schedule, noting that the RTP TAC Transit Subgroup will be meeting more regularly in the following months.</p> <p>Summer 2016</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Define and review Mosaic ‘Bundles’ to test aspirational project lists • Transit Subgroup begins to meet more frequently • Transit ‘Future Conditions’ and funding analysis work. Begin discussing preferred system solutions • Discuss RTSP requirements with each jurisdiction <p>Early Fall 2016</p>	

APPROVED MINUTES

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Public meetings to be held in throughout the AAMPO area• CWACT Briefing #1 <p>Later Fall</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Review Transportation System & Transit System Recommendations• Review Regional Transportation Performance Metrics• Finalize Plan Goals and Objectives <p>Winter 2016</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Begin preparing draft RTP, RTSP and TDP documents <p>March 2017</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Review Draft Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Transit Development Plan (TDP)• CWACT Briefing #2 <p>Summer 2017 – RTP Hearing and Adoption</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Local agency briefings• Policy Board Hearing <p>Staff advised that there have been questions in regards to Albany Area Transit Development Plan (TDP) Scope of Work. The TDP geographic boundary includes Albany, Millersburg, Tangent, Jefferson and adjacent areas expected to be urbanized in the next twenty years (UGB areas).</p> <p>The Chair questioned whether the TDP would be incorporated by reference in the AAMPO RTP or will it have a separate transit section. He was advised that the highpoints of the TDP will be pulled out and included in the TDP. Terry Cole indicated that you don't want short term operation items adopted in the RTP/RTSP, which will be a land use decision.</p>	
--	--	--

APPROVED MINUTES

	<p>Scott Chapman (Nelson Nygaard) went on to discuss transit planning coordination. Chapman briefly reviewed the following transit plans:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Linn County TSP • Linn County Coordinated Human Services Public Transportation • Albany Area MPO Regional Transportation Plan • Albany Area MPO Transit Development Plan • Lebanon TSP • Lebanon Transit Development Plan <p>Chuck Knoll questioned how special transportation services fit in with the TDP process. Chapman advised that the Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan identifies transportation needs of individuals with disabilities, older adults, and people with low income. It provides guidance on how funds will be spent in Linn County. The programs noted by Knoll receive funds by entities such as non profits, cities, and the COG who receive 5310 STF funds.</p> <p>The Chair advised that the AAMPO RTP cannot go too far outside of the boundary due to statutory requirements. However, the COG is the central coordinator of Linn, Benton and Lincoln Counties and STF Coordinators could contact Phil Warnock, Community Economic Development Director at the COG to discuss regional coordination.</p> <p>Staff noted that once all the data is compiled and local needs are identified, AAMPO could work towards a larger higher level regional strategy.</p> <p>Chapman went on to explain the nature of TDPs, their scope and future conditions. There were no additional questions or discussion.</p>	
<p>5. Transit Development Plan Update</p>	<p>Staff advised that the first meeting of the RTP TAC Transit Subgroup is scheduled for Monday, July 25 at 3:00 pm.</p>	

APPROVED MINUTES

	<p>Consultants reviewed Attachments A and B with members. Staff needs feedback from members on the memos within one week. The attachments were included in the agenda packet email, however; staff send out a follow up email to the group requesting feedback within a week.</p>	
<p>6. Mosaic Discussion</p>	<p>The Chair and staff reviewed what Mosaic is, its purpose and use. Members received a handout of the RTP Goals and Mosaic Categories. These goals are updated to better align with the goal areas in Mosaic.</p> <p>Mosaic looks at impacts on considerations such as accessibility, the environment, the economic development, mobility, land use, and quality of life. Mosaic is designed to assess the cumulative impact or performance of an entire project list and can also compare two project lists with different funding strategies. The Mosaic allows discussion trade-off of investment strategies and can help inform policy choices.</p> <p>AAMPO needs to identify the geography to measure. Does AAMPO want to measure the broader regional impacts/benefits of the project list or does it want to limit the analysis to only consider the impacts/benefits to the MPO area. AAMPO also needs to come up with two bundles for the tool to work appropriately. With bundles, several different project lists are created then you compare their cumulative impacts relative to each other.</p> <p>Members requested staff to provide prior to the next meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Map of deficiencies not addressed through the constrained project list and a high level summary of needs in AAMPO area to inform the ‘bundle’ discussion • Ideas on how to identify bundles – prepare five ‘strawmen’ bundles for consideration 	<p>Staff to provide members with the following in preparation for next meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A high level summary of needs • Map of deficiencies not addressed through the constrained project list • Five strawmen bundles
<p>7. Next Steps</p>	<p>Staff thanked members for their patience with the Mosaic process.</p>	
<p>8. Adjourn</p>	<p>Meeting adjourned at 3:15 pm.</p>	